

Available at: <u>http://scientificpublications.in/index.php/ijmsar</u>

Volume 03, Issue 06, 2022

ISSN

Original Article

2582-7197

Augmentation of time management in MRI brain non -co-operative patients with routine sequences by comparing of T2 and T2 propeller brain axial image acquisition

Mr. ARUL.S, Mr. KARTHIK.B.D.

Assistant professor Department of Medical Imaging Acharya Institute of Allied Health Sciences, Bangalore - 560107. **Mr. KASIMURUGAN**

Lecturer, Department of Radiodiagnosis Sapthagiri Institute of Medical Sciences and Research Centre, Bangalore -560090. **Ms. VISMAYA.P** Research Associate and Project Engineer

ADBS Neuro Imaging Centre National Institute of Mental Health and Sciences.Bangalore - 560030.

Article Received 1-11-2022 /Article Revised 10-12-2022 / Article Accepted 20-12-2022

ABSTRACT:

Objective

The objective of this study is to estimate how to manage time in non-cooperative individuals having an MRI brain study.

Place and duration of the study

The National Institute of Mental Health and Neuro sciences. Bangalore. Between February 1st and March 30th, 2022, there will be a 59-day period.

Methodology

This is a prospective observational study. The study included 30 non-cooperative MRI brain patients with three different types of clinical complaints. Images and time were acquired using standard MRI sequences: T2axial, T2 PROPELLER axial, and both sequences (T2 axial&T2 PROPELLER axial) image acquisition techniques. They were classified into three categories based on clinical complaints, with radiographs obtained using standard protocols with and without T2 PROPELLER with each category. Data is analysed using R software version 4.1.2 and Excel. Categorical variables are given in the form of



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

How to Cite

CHOKKAN, A. The Augmentation of time management in MRI brain non -co-operative patients with routine sequences by comparing of T2 and T2 propeller brain axial image acquisition: Time management for MRI brain non-cooperative patients. **International Journal of Medical Sciences and Academic Research**, v. 3, n. 06, p.33-43, 24 Dec. 2022. frequency table. To check the inter-rater agreement Fleiss Kappa is used. P-value less than or equal to 0.05 indicates statistical significance.

Results

In non-cooperative patients, time can be decreased by employing the T2 PROPELLER axial sequence in conjunction with standard sequences, and scans can be adequate for a mediocre investigation. two significant agreement present between 3 technologists for Impact on motion artifacts in T2 Axial and Impact on non-co-operative patients in T2 propeller.

Conclusion

For non-cooperative patients and healthcare practitioners, the T2 propeller axial sequence can be employed to reduce time - and - motion artefacts.

Keywords: Magnetic resonance imaging; non-co-operative patients; T2axial sequence;T2 axial propeller sequences; acquisition methods; time minimizing

INTRODUCTION:

n MRI scan is noninvasively obtained images with better visualisation of anatomical structures of the brain and pathological condition of the brain. Particularly on soft tissues. Which is providing detailed image quality when patient is co-operating to the MRI brain acquisition during the study. Whereas compared to other imaging modalities, MRI has more noise and time during image acquisition. Due to environmental setup of the MRI gantry and noise during acquisition causing the mild claustrophobia to patients which is affecting the patients co-operation. Including non-co-operative patients they were naturally unstable patients because of their neurological complaints like headache, dizziness, stroke, etc...This is all directly leading to patient non-co-operation, introducing the motion artifacts in MR images. To eliminate certain amount motion artifact, largely the prescribed as sedating the patient and great need of increasing the acquisition timing by repeating

the sequences were artefacts presented. Most leadingly MRI technologist phasing the overall acquisition time across the handling of non-cooperative patients. Whereas PROPELLER sequence ultimately reducing the motion artefacts.

PROPELLER:

(Periodically rotated overlapping parallel lines with enhanced reconstruction). FSE/TSE method sequences may be greatly lowering the overall acquisition time while obtaining MR imaging. Due to their acquisition, numerous phase encoding lines fill in the k-space between each TR interval. The goal of the propeller sequence is to eliminate visual motion artefacts. In the acquisition, a filled data set was obtained. Because the signal-to-noise ratio and contrast-to-noise ratio in the centre of k-space are the greatest, if any motion occurs while data is being acquired in k-space, the signal is immediately replaced by a second data set. Frame interpolation the k-space, in essence to minimizes artefacts in typical MRI sequences.

MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY:

This study was done in 30 non-co-operative patients who underwent MRI brain study. This population was arranged by three different groups based on their present medical complaints; which were - 1. headache and dizziness- MRI induced mild claustrophobia, 2. seizure disorder, and 3.stroke. Each groups consisting the 10 patients with same complaints as disoriented to MRI. Images were acquired by using 1.5T MRI brain routine sequences.

Those are 3-planelocalizer, calibration head, DWI, T1&T2-W, FLAIR, GRE, 3D-FIESTA, 3D-TOF 2D-MRV including PROPELLER sequence. While considering time management in routine brain sequences and according to patient complaints without expulsion of routine sequences to obtaining minimal images with minimal time duration, T2 axial image cuts acquisition parameters compared to T2 PROPELLER axial image cuts acquisition parameters. The comparison of T2axial and T2 PROPELLER axial sequences with routine other MRI sequences timing shows in table1, T2 axial sequence acquisition parameters shows in table2, T2 PROPELLER axial sequence acquisition parameter shows in table3. Wheres the significance time is 1 minute 85 seconds. This can be reduced directely in overeall MRI barin acquisition time.

<u>Group-1</u>:Patients with complaints of headache and dizziness followed by MRI induced mild claustrophobia.

This 10 patients images are acquired by routine MRI brain sequences which including T2Axial cut sequence. The actual total sequence running time took 26 minutes 88 seconds. Approximately ± 5 minutes respectively added based on patient cooperation. Those 10 patients were diagnosed as essentially normal study due to MR study of the brain shows no significant neuroparenchymal abnormality detected. Prominent cotical sulcal spaces, ventricles and basal cisterns are detected definable. Prefferd 3D-FIESTA for dizziness to findout vascular compression on nerves and trigeminal nerve root compression which is causing a aspect of dizziness. Followed by 3D-TOF(MRA), 2D-MRV for findout intracranial vascular pathologies which is causing a aspect of headache and dizzines,DWI-for finding out ischemic stroke, differentiation between acute and chronic stroke and for grading of glioma, abcess and tumors GRE was used.

Table 1: Brain imaging sequences and their overall timing						
S.no	Routine MRI brain sequences includingT2 AXIAL	Scan time	Routine MRI brain sequences includingT2 AXIAL PROPELLER	Scan time		
1.	3-Pl T2* Localizer	0.07sec	3-Pl T2* Localizer	0.07sec		
2.	Calibration head	0.13sec	Calibration head	0.13sec		
3.	Axial-DWI	1.03sec	Axial-DWI	1.03sec		
4.	Axial-T2	4.03 sec	Axial-T2 PROPELLER	2.18sec		
5.	Axial-T2flair	3.28sec	Axial-T2flair	3.28sec		
6.	Axial-T1flair	2.05sec	Axial-T1flair	2.05sec		
7.	Cor -T2flair	3.28sec	Cor -T2flair	3.28sec		
8.	Sag -T1 flair	2.04 sec	Sag -T1 flair	2.04 sec		
9.	Axial -GRE	1.28sec	Axial -GRE	1.28sec		
10.	3D FIESTA	1.41sec	3D FIESTA	1.41sec		
11.	BRAIN 3D TOF	4.23sec	BRAIN 3D TOF	4.23sec		
12.	2D MRV	5.01sec	2D MRV	5.01sec		
	Total scan time	26.88 sec	Total scan time	25.03seczarzzzz		

Table 2:Sequence parameter of T2 axial sections			
FOV(cm):22.0cm	PHASE FOV(cm):0.75cm		
Slice thickness(mm):5mm	TI(ms):		
TR(ms):6720ms	TE(ms):100ms		
ETL(ms):21ms	Bandwidth(khz):31.25khz		
Frequency matrix (mxn):320	Phase matrix(mxn):224		
Spacing :1.00mm	NEX:4.00		
Scan time	4.03 sec		

Table 3:Sequence parameter of T2 propeller axial sections			
FOV(cm):22.0cm	PHASE FOV(cm):0.75cm		
Slice thickness(mm):5mm	TI(ms):		
TR(ms):6000ms	TE(ms):104.832ms		
ETL(ms):24ms	Bandwidth(khz):50.00khz		
Frequency matrix (mxn):512	Phase matrix(mxn):224		
Spacing :1.00mm	NEX:1.00		
Scan time	2.18 sec		

<u>Group 2:</u> Patients with complaint of seizure disorder

This 10 patiens images are acquired by routine which is MRI sequences including T2 PROPELLAR axial cut sequence. The total time 25minuts 03seconds. Approximately ±5 took minutes respectively added based on patient cooperation. Radiologist diagnosed as small vessel ischemic changes and age related diffuse cerebral atrophy changes due to periventricular/Bilateral punctate/deep white matter hyperintensity noted at T2PROPELLER /T2 FLAIR. Prominent cotical sulcal spaces, ventricles and basal cisterns are detected definable. Timing is ultimately redued directly using T2PROPELLER axial sequence.

Group-3: Patients with complaints of stroke

This 10 patients images are acquired by routine MRI sequences which including both groups sequences(T2 axial and T2axial PROPELLAR). The overall timing took 29minuts 06 seconds. Approximately ±5 minutes respectively added based on patient co-operation. Radiologist diagnosed as subacute on chronic subdural haemorrhage-appearing hyperintense on T1FLAIR, isointense on T2 axial and T2axial PROPELLER. Subdural hygroma-appearing hypointense on T1FLAIR , hyperintense on T2axial and T2 axial PROPELLER. Diagnosed such a cases like chronic lacunar infarct, gliosis, subacute intraparenchymal hemorrhage, chronic intra parenchymal hemorrhage and old infarcts. This group patients images aquired for comparison of both sequences images. (inter-rater agreement)

ISSN 2582-7197



Table:4 Comparison of Image Appearances				
Radiological findings	Axial-T2	Axial-T2PROPELLER		
Old infarction/gliosis	Hyper intense	Hyper intense		
Late Subacute intra-	Hyper	Hyper		
parenchymal	intense	intense		
haemorrhage				
Chronic intra-	Hyper	Hyper		
parenchymal	Intense	Intense		
haemorrhage				
Edema	Hyper intense	Hyper intense		
Chronic lacunar infarct	Hyper intense	Hyper intense		
Subdural hygroma	Hyper intense	Hyper intense		
Subacute on chronic subdural haemorrhage	Iso intense	Iso intense		
Small vessel ischemic changes	Hyper intense	Hyper intense		
Age relateddiffusecerebralatrophychanges	Hyper intense	Hyper intense		
Acute infarct	Hyper intense	Hyper intense		
Lesion	Hyper intense	Hyper intense		

Table 5: Fleiss Kappa over technologists for different variables

Data contains measurements on 10 subjects for three different technologists. The following table gives the distribution of rating for different variables over each technologist.

		D. (*	Technologist		Elaico		
Variable	Side	g	Technolo gist 1	Technologi st 2	Technolo gist 3	Kappa	p- value
	T2	1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0.18	
		2	6 (60%)	6 (60%)	10		
		2	2 (200/.)	4 (400/)	(100%)		0.267
Timing	Axiai	3	3(30%)	4(40%)	0(0%)		
impact on		4	1(10%)	0 (0%)	0(0%)		
overall sequences		1	0(0%)	0(0%)	0(0%)		
	T2 Propeller	2	0(0%)	0(0%)	0(0%)	0.114	
		3	1(10%)	0(0%)	0(0%)		0.494
		3	$\frac{1}{4}$	7(70%)	5 (50%)		0.494
		5	$\frac{4}{5}(50\%)$	3 (30%)	5 (50%)		
		1	3(30%)	5 (50%)	5 (50%)		
	T2	2	4(40%)	5 (50%)	5 (50%)	0.345	
		3	$\frac{4(40\%)}{2(20\%)}$	0(0%)	0(0%)		0.026
	Axial	3	2(20%)	0(0%)	0(0%)		1*
Impact		5	0(0%)	0(0%)	0(0%)		
on motion		1	0(0%)	0 (0%)	0(0%)		
artifacts		2	0(0%)	0(0%)	0(0%)		
	T2	3	0(0%)	0(0%)	0(0%)	0.282	0 1 2 2
	Propeller	3	$\frac{0}{(0.0)}$	$\frac{0}{4}$	3(30%)	0.282	0.122
		5	4 (40%) 6 (60%)	4 (40%) 6 (60%)	7(70%)		
		1	0(00%)	0(00%)	0(0%)		
		2	0(0%)	0(0%)	0(0%)	0.186	
	T2 Axial	3	0(0%)	0(0%)	0(0%)		0.310
		4	5 (50%)	$\frac{0}{4}(40\%)$	4 (40%)		0.510
Pathologi		5	5 (50%)	6 (60%)	6 (60%)		
es similarity		1	0(0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)		
appearances		2	0 (0%)	0(0%)	0 (0%)		
	T2	3	0 (0%)	0(0%)	0 (0%)	0 196	0.282
	Propeller	4	5 (50%)	4 (40%)	5 (50%)	0.170	0.202
		.5	5 (50%)	6 (60%)	5 (50%)		
		1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0.333	
	T2 Axial	2	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)		
		3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)		0.067
		4	6 (60%)	5 (50%)	4 (40%)		9
Anatomi		5	4 (40%)	5 (50%)	6 (60%)		
cal structures	T2	1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	-0.2	
appearances		2	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)		
		3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)		0.273
	Propeller	4	6 (60%)	5 (50%)	4 (40%)		
		5	4 (40%)	5 (50%)	6 (60%)		
		1	0 (0%)	1 (10%)	2 (20%)	0.144	
	T2 Axial	2	5 (50%)	5 (50%)	4 (40%)		
Impact on non-co-		3	5 (50%)	4 (40%)	4 (40%)		0.324
		4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)		
		5	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)		
operative	T2 Propeller	1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0.389	
patients		2	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)		0.033
		3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)		
		4	2 (20%)	5 (50%)	5 (50%)		
		5	8 (80%)	5 (50%)	5 (50%)		

Discussion :

In this clinical study group1 patients acquisition time were technicaly took more time compared to group 2 patients acquisition time.

weres both groups timing evaluted by trade-offs parametes as well as group 3 patients images were acquired by using both sequences for image similarity. Those ten patients T2 axial and T2 PROPELLER axial images was rated independently by three well-skilled technologists individually scored the duration and image quality of T2 axial and T2 propeller axial images on a five point categorical scale. Fleiss' kappa inter-rater agreement test has been used to test for significant similarities and dissimilarities.

Results:

Group 1-patients overall acquisition time took more than group 2 patients overall acquisition time. Those images obtained by routine sequences, along with T2axial sequence and T2 PROPELLER axial sequence individually in each groups. Were group 3 patients images acquired by using both T2 axial sequence and T2 PROPELLER axial sequence. In the above table, we can observe that, there is only two significant agreement present between 3 technologists for Impact on motion artifacts in T2 Axial and Impact on non-cooperative patients in T2 propeller.

All other turned out as non-significant agreement between the technologists. The extent of agreement found to be fair for Impact on motion artifacts in T2 Axial and Impact on non-co-operative patients in T2 propeller.

Conclusion:

Time management in non-co-operative patients acquisition time reduced by excluding the T2axial sequence and including T2 PROPELLER sequence along with routine MRI brain sequences.

Across routine MRI brain sequences, impact on an individual sequence acquisition timing also has role in increasing overall time of the study while acquiring MRI brain images in non-co-operative patients .This increasing overall timing impact on individual sequence acquisition time in MRI brain study can be reduced by limiting the sequences based on patient complaints and radiologist choice of images for comparative findings. When patient not co-operating during MRI brain is highly acquisition, MRI technologist can apply first sequence as T2PROPELLER and followed by based on findings with radiologist described pathologically highly oriented sequences are sufficient to acquire certain images. This suboptimal study providing minimal images for oral/provisional diagnosis to radiologist.

Abbreviations:

MRI-magnetic resonance imaging ,PROPELLER-Periodically Rotated Overlapping Parallel Lines With Enhanced Reconstruction, DWI-diffusion weighted imaging , FLAIR-fluid attenuated inversion recovery, GRE-gradient recalled echo,3D FIESTA- 3 dimensional fast imaging employing steady state acquisition, 3D TOF-3 dimensional time of flight, MRA-magnetic resonance angiography,2D MRV-2 dimensional magnetic resonance venography, TR-repetition time ,TEtime to echo, FOV-field of view, ETL-echo train length NEX-number of excitations, TI-inversion time.

Reference:

1.Yuusuke Hirokawa1,hiroyoshi Isoda, yoji S maetani, shegeki Arizono. MRI artifact reduction and quality improvement in the upper abdomen with PROPELLER and prospective acquisition correction technique.AJR:191,October 2008

2.Benjamin M kozak.MD, CamiloJaimes.MD, John kirsch PHD, Michael S gee MD.PHD.MRI Techniques to decrease imaging times in children. RadioGraphics 2020;40:485-502

3. james G. Pipe and Nicholas Zwart .Turboprop: Improved PROPELLER Imaging .magnetic resonance in medicine 55:380-385.2006.

4.Kirsten P Forbes, james G. Pipe , John P Karis ,Victoria Farthing , Joseph E Heiserman. Brain imaging in the unsedated pediatric patient: comparison of periodically rotated overlapping parallel lines with enhanced reconstruction and single -shot fast spin echo sequences .AJNR Am J Neuroradiology 2003May;24(5):794-8

5.A Talia Vertinsky, Erika Rubesova, Michael V Krasnokutsky, Sabine Bammer, Jarrett Rosenberg, Allan white,Patrick D Barnes ,Roland Bammer .Perfomance of PROPELLER relative to standard FSE T2-weighted imaging in pediatric brain MRI .Pediatric Radiology 2009 October;39(10):1038-47 6.Motion correction With PROPELLER MRI: Application to Head Motion and Free-Breathing Cardiac Imaging. james G. Pipe. magnetic resonance in medicine 42:963-969.(1999)

7. Marc Dewey.MD, Tania Schink. PhD, CharlesF.Dewey.MD PhD. Claustrophobia During

Magnetic Resonance Imaging: Cohort study in over 55,000 patients

8. Daisy van Minde, Laura Klaming, Hans weda. Pinpointing Moments of High Anxiety During an MRI Examination. International journal of behavioral medicine 21,487-495(2014)

9.G H Glover, J M Pauly. Projection reconstruction techniques for reduction of motion effects in MRI. magnetic resonance in medicine.1992 Dec;28(2):275-89

10.C Siewert , N Hosten , R Felix. The use of the T2-weighted turpo -spin-echo sequence in studying the neurocranium. A comparison with the conventional T2-weighted spin-echo sequences. National library of medicine 1994 Jul;161(1):44-50

11. Masami Goto, Shigeki Aoki, Naoto hayashi,Harushi Mori. Imaging characteristics ofPROPELLER T2 weighted imaging. Pubmed 2004Nov;60(11):1585-91

12.Hai-Bo Wu, Hui-shu Yun,Furong Ma, Qiang Zhao. Comparison of FSE T2 W PROPELLER and 3D-FIESTA of MR for the internal auditory. Cinical Imaging Sep-Oct 2017;45:30-33.

13.Ashish A Tamhane, Konstantinos Arfanakis . Motion correction in periodically -rotated overlapping parallel lines with enhanced reconstruction (PROPELLAR) and turboprop MRI.2009 Jul;62(1):174-82

14.Kirsten P .Forbes , James G. pipe, John P.Karis, Joseph E.Heiserman. Improved Image Quality and Detection of Acute Cerebral Infarction with PROPELLER Diffusion -weighted MR imaging. RSNA-Vol 225,No.2. 15.Ruth Prieto, Jose M. Pascual, Miguel Yus, Manuela Jorquera. Trigeminal neuralgia :Assessment of neurovascular decompression by 3D fast imaging employing steady-state acquisition and 3D time of flight multiple overlapping thin slap acquisition magnetic resonance imaging. Surgical Neurology International 14 -May-2012;3:50.

16.M Dylan Tisdall, Aaron T Hess, Martin Reuter, Ernesta M Meintjes, Bruce Fischl, Andre J W van der kouwe. Volumetric navigators for prospective motion correction and selective reacquisition in neuroanatomical MRI. Magnetic resonance medicine.2012 Aug;68(2):389-99.

17. Michael Schar, Holger Eggers, Nicholas R.Zwart, Yuchou Chang, Akshay Bakhru, James G.pipe.Dixon Separation Water -Fat in PROPELLER MRI Acquired with Two interleaved Echoes. magnetic resonance in medicine 75:718-728(2016)

18.GE -HyperSense-Compressed sensing and other advanced acceleration techniques. Page no-23

19.GE- SIGNA pulse of MR -Elevating radiology with intelligent MR.Autumn2018 -RSNA edition-volume twenty five. Page no 20-65.

20.R.Jabarkheel,E.Tong,E.H.Lee,T.M.Cullen,U.Yo usaf,A.M.Loening,V.Taviani,M.Iv,G.A.Grant,S.J. Holdsworth,S.S.Vasanawala and K.W.Yeom. Variable Refocusing Flip angle Single-Shot imaging for sedation free Fast Brain MRI.ajnr-A6616-june 2020

21.SurajD.Serai,PhD,Jordan.B.Rapp,MD,LisaJ.States,MD,SavvasAndronikou,MD,PhD,PierluigiCiet,MD,PhDandEdward

Y.Lee,MD,MPH. Pediatric Lung MRI :Currently Available and Emerging techniques.AJR-2021;216:781-790.

22.Marta Drake-Perez, Jose Boto, Aikaterini Fitsiori, Karl Lovblad&Maria Isabel Vargas. clinical applications of diffusion weighted imaging in neuroradiology. Insights into imaging 9, 535-547(2018).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

There is No conflict of interest.

Submit your manuscripts